

"Lemma" - "given" or "argued"

TFB Weakening Lemma: If $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and x not free in e then $\Gamma, x : \tau' \vdash e : \tau$.

Proof: by induction on the height of e and then by case analysis on the proof rule used in $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$.

Case of Int Rule: Then $e = n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\tau = \text{Int}$. Let $\Gamma' = \Gamma, x : \tau'$. Then by the Int rule, $\Gamma' \vdash n : \text{Int}$.

Case of Plus Rule: Then $e = e_1 + e_2$ and $\tau = \text{Int}$. Also, we know $\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{Int}$ and $\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{Int}$ from premises of Plus Rule. By inductive hypothesis, $\Gamma, x : \tau' \vdash e_1 : \text{Int}$ and $\Gamma, x : \tau' \vdash e_2 : \text{Int}$. By Plus Rule $\Gamma, x : \tau' \vdash e : \text{Int}$.

Case of Let Rule: Then $e = (\text{Let } x' : \tau'' = e_1 \text{ In } e_2)$. Also, we know $\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau''$ and $\Gamma, x' : \tau'' \vdash e_2 : \tau$. Let $\Gamma' = \Gamma, x : \tau'$. By inductive hypothesis, $\Gamma' \vdash e_1 : \tau''$. We have two cases: either $x = x'$ or $x \neq x'$.

If $x \neq x'$ then x not free in e_2 . By inductive hypothesis, $\Gamma, x' : \tau'', x : \tau' \vdash e_2 : \tau$. WTS $\Gamma', x' : \tau'' \vdash e_2 : \tau$. WTS $\Gamma, x : \tau', x' : \tau'' \vdash e_2 : \tau$. Since $x \neq x'$, $\Gamma, x : \tau', x' : \tau'' = \Gamma, x' : \tau'', x : \tau'$. So we know $\Gamma', x' : \tau'' \vdash e_2 : \tau$. By Let rule, $\Gamma' \vdash e : \tau$. Γ'

Otherwise, $x = x'$. Then $\boxed{\Gamma, x : \tau'}, x' : \tau'' = \Gamma, x' : \tau''$. Since we know that $\Gamma, x' : \tau'' \vdash e_2 : \tau$, we know $\Gamma', x' : \tau'' \vdash e_2 : \tau$. By Let rule, $\Gamma' \vdash e : \tau$.

etc. etc.

$\Gamma = \{ a \mapsto \text{Bool} \}$
 $\Gamma, c : \text{Bool} = \{ a \mapsto \text{Bool}, c \mapsto \text{Bool} \}$
 $\Gamma, c : \text{Bool}, c : \text{Int} = \{ a \mapsto \text{Bool}, c \mapsto \text{Int} \}$

TFB Substitution Lemma: If $\Gamma, x:\tau \vdash e:\tau'$ and $\Gamma \vdash v:\tau$ then $\Gamma \vdash e[v/x]:\tau'$.

By induction on height of e and by case analysis on the rule used. Let $\Gamma' = \Gamma, x:\tau$.

Case of Int Rule: Then $e = n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\tau' = \text{Int}$. $n[v/x] = n$. By Int rule, $\Gamma \vdash e[v/x] : \text{Int}$.

Case of Plus Rule: Then $e = e_1 + e_2$ and $\tau' = \text{Int}$. Also $\Gamma' \vdash e_1 : \text{Int}$ and $\Gamma' \vdash e_2 : \text{Int}$ by premises. $e[v/x] = (e_1 + e_2)[v/x] = (e_1[v/x]) + (e_2[v/x])$. By inductive hyp., $\Gamma \vdash e_1[v/x] : \text{Int}$ and $\Gamma \vdash e_2[v/x] : \text{Int}$. So by Plus rule, $\Gamma \vdash (e_1[v/x]) + (e_2[v/x]) : \text{Int}$ and so $\Gamma \vdash e[v/x] : \text{Int}$.

$$\text{Plus } \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{Int} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{Int}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 + e_2 : \text{Int}}$$